



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/889,372

08/10/2001

Jun Nakagawa

110106

2666

25944

7590

12/10/2009

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

PAPPAS, PETER-ANTHONY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2628

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/10/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/889,372	Applicant(s) NAKAGAWA, JUN	
	Examiner PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS	Art Unit 2628	

All Participants:

(1) PETER-ANTHONY PAPPAS.

(2) Stephen P. Catlin.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2009

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,2,10,11,12,20-22,27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter-Anthony Pappas/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner inquired whether "alpha value," as claimed, read on [transparency, translucency or opacity] and not [a red, green or blue color value or a combination thereof]. The applicant agreed with the examiner's interpretation that "alpha value" read on [transparency, translucency or opacity]. An Examiner's Amendment was proposed by the examiner to place the instant application into conditions for allowance. However, agreement could not be reached. The examiner indicated that an Office Action would be mailed. The applicant acknowledged.